Requirement: Write effectively as defined by the Army standard is “understood by the reader in a single, rapid reading and is free of errors in substance, organization, style, and correctness in accordance with PL 111-274.” Instructions: Write an analysis essay which answers the following: Students will analyze a commander’s performance from a selected historical battle. This analysis will evaluate how effectively the commander executed the Mission Command Warfighting Function during the battle. Specifically, students will examine how the leader utilized the mission command principles. Students must address at least four of the principles in their analysis and, through research, suggest how the commander’s good or bad utilization of those principles ultimately affected the battle’s outcome. The paper must be six-eight pages, written IAW AR 25-50, the Army Writing Style. Bibliography AND Endnotes are Required. Minimum of 3 references/citations. Title Page, paper format, and references must be in Turabian Style (9th ed.). Substance and Style will mirror the Army Writing Style. The greatest emphasis in this paper will be placed upon your analysis of the Commander’s actions. Use four of the seven principles of Mission Command (as defined in ADP 6-0) to explain why this Commander either prevailed or lost in the conflict you have chosen. Assure that you are addressing each principle with multiple examples of the commander's performance. Be sure to tie the use or lack thereof to the outcome of the battle. Your analysis should include the definition of the principle and the application of the principle. Strengthen your analysis by focusing on the 'so what' as it applies to your battle. - Use at least four references and cite references in Turabian style, using endnotes (not footnotes). Internet sites should be carefully screened for credibility – if you are in doubt, consult your SGL or the History Department.