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Further Instructions to Students:

To assess achievement of the learning outcomes this module uses two types of assessment:

CW1 – Clinical Case Study (linked to learning outcomes 1 - 5

PR1 – Clinical Competency OSCE (Learning outcomes 1 - 3)

All individual elements must be passed in order to pass this module.

The OSCE examination will take place at the University and will be subject to the University’s examination guidelines.

**The written assignment supports the understanding of the Learning Outcomes for the**

**Module:**

1. **Evaluate, apply and critically synthesize theories and approaches to clinical reasoning and the decision-making processes within healthcare practice**

**2. Demonstrate understanding of the complex and problematic nature of clinical reasoning and decision making in health care settings, applying originality of thought to create, interpret and utilize advanced knowledge.**

**3. Critically analyze, utilize and integrate the diagnostic reasoning processes to assign meaning and classify data in clinical situations within healthcare, by integrating observations and critical thinking in the management of a client.**

**4. Identify and contextualize professional accountability, legal, ethical, social and economic issues affecting nursing consultations and the clinical decision making processes.**

**5. Demonstrate advanced knowledge of the evidence base related to diagnostic tools, investigations and interventions, and the clinical reasoning process undergirding professional decision making.**

**The Assignment Task:**

This **Summative Assessment** requires you to submit a **4000 word** critical analysis demonstrating, evaluating and critically reflecting on decision making processes and the complex factors that both influence and guide those decisions in a scenario/situation from clinical practice. No prescriptive reflective model is advised, giving the student freedom to choose one that allows an in-depth critical reflection of their chosen case study.

This assessment element allows the student to:

 Critically reflect and evaluate their own practice and clinical decisions and the theories that support those decisions, in the context of advanced practice (LO 1, 2, 3)

 Demonstrate a holistic understanding of decision making with regards to professional accountability, legal, social and economic factors affecting clinical consultations and how it might have implications for practice (LO4).

 Incorporate and validate advance knowledge of the evidence related to diagnostic tools and interventions (LO 5)

**Format:**

Submit your essay in font size 12 or 14 in Times New Roman with 1.5 line spacing.

a. **Provide a title page which includes:**

- Your student number and cohort

- The module information (module code and name)

- The actual word count (within 10% + or - of 4000 words)

- Your submission date

- The title of your assignment

b. **Throughout your essay use:**

- Times New Roman font size 12 - 14

- 1.5 line spacing

- Page numbers as footer

- Student number as header.

**Your essay should include:**

1. **Introduction:**

a. Identify the setting for the clinical scenario or situation

b. Identify the reflective model that guides critical thinking and reflection.

c. Note adherence to confidentiality and consent (NMC Code of Professional Practice 2015).

1. A clear rationale for the choice of the case study, and the context of Advanced Practice Roles with regard to

either the Advanced Nurse Practitioner or Advanced Clinical Practitioner role and context.

3. Identification of the theories, models and evidence that supports your understanding and learning with regards the complex nature of clinical decision making in health care settings.

4. A relevant conclusion identifying key points and implications for practice.

5. An accurate reference list using the Bucks Harvard referencing system

6. Appendix containing the biographical and contextual details of the Case Study.

**Assessment Criteria**

**IMPORTANT: REFER TO THE GENERIC LEVEL 7 MARKING CRITERIA FOR GRADING GUIDELINES**

**Signed**