Paper Prompt #1 U.S. History after 1865 OVERVIEW: For this assignment, you will be comparing and contrasting a historical work about a topic in American history with a manifesto about a topic in American history. ASSIGNED READINGS: Peggy Pascoe, What Comes Naturally: Miscegenation Law and the Making of Race in America Introduction Chapter 2: Sexualizing Miscegenation Law Chapter 3: Configuring Race in the American West Chapter 4: The Facts of Race in the Courtroom Vine Deloria, Custer Died for Your Sins Chapter 1: Indians Today, the Real and the Unreal Chapter 2: Laws and Treaties Chapter 5: Missionaries and the Religious Vacuum Chapter 7: Indian Humor FORMAT: Your paper must be at least 6 pages, double-spaced, 12-point font. Longer papers are fine. Only pdf, txt, rtf, doc and docx formats are accepted by Canvas. Passing papers must include references and specific quotes from the books; include citations identifying author and page numbers, either parenthetically (Pascoe 39) or in footnotes.[1] Include a works cited page, although additional sources (beyond the 2 articles listed above) are not required. All papers will be screened for plagiarism by vericite in Canvas. Per the syllabus and campus policy, any plagiarized submissions will receive a 0. FORMAT AND GUIDELINES:. Your paper should have an introduction with a THESIS statement that mentions the 2 books you are analyzing. Next, you should have about 2 pages about the assigned chapters in book 1, followed by about 2 pages about the assigned chapters in book 2, followed by about 2 pages comparing and contrasting the 2 books, followed by a conclusion. You may write in first-person in the conclusion, but the rest of the paper should be written in third-person form. Analysis guidelines: Identify the thesis and major points in each assigned chapter. Analyze how they support their major points. Describe what types of sources (if any) are used Conclusion guidelines: Share your thoughts of the books overall. Compare/contrast the 2 books identifying differences and similarities. What were the strengths/weaknesses of each article? Was one book more effective than the other? Explain.