You are asked to write a concise piece of legal advice advising James of his chances of a successful appeal to the Supreme Court. Your advice should not exceed **1,750** words in length (excluding your bibliography). If you exceed the word limit by more than 10%, you will be penalised by up to 5% of the marks. Do **NOT** use footnotes or endnotes – all case citations (and other references) should be included in the body of your advice. Your work should be word-processed and contain a detailed bibliography with full citations. Your advice should be supported by relevant case law and statutes (where appropriate).  Plagiarism will not be tolerated, do not copy anyone else’s work, or show anyone else your work.  You are reminded that plagiarism includes purchasing model coursework from whatever source.

**Learning Outcomes**

 This coursework is designed to test the following legal skills:

         To handle factual data, selecting the material that is legally relevant

         To identify the legal issues that require consideration

         To deal with those issues in a clear and coherent manner

         To select appropriate authorities that will enable a resolution of those issues

         To write and argue rationally, coherently and succinctly

         To use appropriate legal skills (i.e., citation of cases, legal research, etc.)

 You must submit your work electronically via Turnitin. Do **NOT** hand in a paper copy.
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